



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,574	10/30/2001	Shell S. Simpson	10008249-1	2754

7590 09/20/2005
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

LETT, THOMAS J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2626

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/001,574

Applicant(s)

SIMPSON ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas J. Lett

Art Unit

2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☒ Other: Detailed Action.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

((e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lenz et al (USPub 2001/0053947 A1).

With respect to claim 1, Lenz et al disclose a method of relieving competition between processing jobs sharing a production device (identification card personalization device 10 containing a web browser, para. 0012), said method comprising the steps of:

- a. accessing from a user's browser a destination service representing at least one production device (web client 20 can access data on a network of various devices, para. 0012, lines 10-13);
- b. retrieving said user's imaging information by said destination service (web client 20 can access data on a network of various devices, para. 0012, lines 10-13);
- c. selecting among production options (can choose between printing and/or lamination, para. 0013, lines 1-5) provided by said destination service for a processing job to process said imaging information using said at least one production device;

d. estimating the time duration required to process said processing job using said production device with said selected production options (print job status information can include start time and estimated completion time for all printers completing the print job, para. 0027, lines 9-16);

e. and comparing said estimated time duration with a previously determined threshold time duration (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times), such that:

f. if said estimated time duration exceeds said previously determined threshold time duration (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times), then disabling processing of said processing job by said production device (the system of Lenz et al may switch or spool to an alternate device for processing, para. 0028); otherwise

g. if said estimated time duration does not exceed said previously determined threshold time duration, then enabling processing of said processing job by said production device (web client 20 can access data on a network of various devices, para. 0012, lines 10-13 to print or laminate print jobs).

With respect to claim 2, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 wherein said user is allowed to perform selecting step c. and estimating step d. iteratively (Examiner notes that the webpage 44 inherently allows a user the discretion to manage the print job iteratively).

With respect to claim 3, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 wherein said user is allowed to perform selecting step c. and estimating step d. sequentially after disabling step f (Examiner notes that the webpage 44 inherently allows a user the discretion to manage the print job selectively).

With respect to claim 4, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 further comprising displaying a message to said user after disabling step f (Lenz et al disclose that server 14 of the system provides publishing of data to the operator such as illustrations and instructions, para. 0030).

With respect to claim 5, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 further comprising if said processing is disabled in disabling step f., then providing said user with a link to an alternative production device (web client 20 can access data on a network of various devices, para. 0012, lines 10-13 and it is inherent that a user can select an alternate device to spool a print job).

With respect to claim 6, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 wherein said previously determined threshold time duration is set by an administrator (Examiner notes that the web server 14 can provide security services and can process driver's licenses and access cards which are features that would be set by an administrator or someone with high access rights, para. 0035).

With respect to claim 7, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 wherein said previously determined threshold time duration differs according to differing temporal periods (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status

Art Unit: 2626

information with previous job estimation times and is inherently decided by a user of the system).

With respect to claim 8, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 7 wherein said differing temporal periods are selected from the group consisting of hours of the day, days of the week, and days of the month (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times and is inherently decided by a user of the system).

With respect to claim 9, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 wherein said previously determined threshold time is assigned according to said user, such that each of a plurality of differing previously determined threshold time durations is assigned to at least one of a plurality of individual users (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times and is inherently decided by a user of the system).

With respect to claim 10, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 9 wherein each of said plurality of differing previously determined threshold time durations can differ further according to differing temporal periods (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times and is inherently decided by a user of the system).

With respect to claim 11, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 further comprising if said processing is disabled in disabling step f., then providing said user an option of reserving a deferred start time for processing of said processing job using said production device in accordance with said selected production options, such that if said user opts to reserve a start time, then setting a deferred start time (the server 14 of Lenz et al can serve information such as the start time, indicating that print/laminate jobs can be set, para. 0027, lines 16-21), storing said processing job during a deferral period until said deferred start time occurs (jobs can be stored on the server 14), and then deferred processing said processing job using said production device in accordance with said selected production options (can choose between printing and/or lamination, para. 0013, lines 1-5).

With respect to claim 12, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 11 wherein said deferred processing job is stored in a medium selected from the group consisting of a hard disk and an image store (identification card is generally formed by combining textual and graphical images received from host applications running on a PC and/or from other input devices, para. 0005) associated with said user's identity (Examiner notes that it is inherent that an identification card system would associate image data (i.e., a driver's photo) and identity data with a user's identity).

With respect to claim 13, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 11 wherein said setting said deferred start time includes avoiding conflict with unavailable deferred start times of said production device (the server 14 of Lenz et al can serve information such as the start time, indicating that print/laminate jobs can be set, para. 0027, lines 16-21)

Art Unit: 2626

Additionally, server 14 can publish maintenance, diagnostics and other printer health data for access by a remote site. This capability permits the examination of malfunctioning printers by experts and potential correction of the malfunction from an internet connected remote site, para. 0026, lines 9-13. Thus a user can diagnose a conflict and resolve such a conflict with an alternative print device.

With respect to claim 14, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 11 further comprising estimating the resources required to process said processing job using said production device with said selected production options (the system of Lenz can designate resources to more efficiently process print jobs, para. 0028).

With respect to claim 15, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 14 further comprising reserving quantities of said respective resources required to process said processing job during said deferral period (the system of Lenz can designate resources to more efficiently process print jobs, para. 0028).

With respect to claim 16, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 15 wherein said reserved resources required to process said processing job are monitored during said deferral period (status of a current job and job history for improved security and identification card tracking is another example of information which can be monitored by server 14. Additionally, where a group of identification card personalization devices 10 are connected to network 12, the current job status of each can be monitored to determine which is available for card processing, para. 0027).

With respect to claim 17, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 16 wherein during said deferral period a warning message is displayed whenever any of said reserved

Art Unit: 2626

resources is depleted to a quantity substantially equal to said reserved quantity of said reserved resource (print supplies/consumables are monitored and process user alerts based on their levels, para. 0029).

With respect to claim 18, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 17 wherein during said deferral period said reserved resources are reported as if said reserved quantities of said reserved resources had already been consumed (where a group of identification card personalization devices 10 are connected to network 12, the current job status of each can be monitored to determine which is available for card processing, para. 0027; print supplies/consumables are also monitored and process user alerts, para. 0029).

With respect to claim 19, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 17 wherein during said deferral period said warning message is removed if said reserved resources are replenished above said reserved quantity (where a group of identification card personalization devices 10 are connected to network 12, the current job status of each can be monitored to determine which is available for card processing, para. 0027).

With respect to claim 20, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 1 further comprising if said processing is disabled in disabling step f., then providing said user an option of processing said processing job using said production device, subject to interruption by a subsequent processing job of a subsequent user (the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), and the system of Lenz et al may switch or spool to an alternate device for processing, para. 0028).

With respect to claim 21, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 20 further comprising dynamically displaying job status including interrupt status at said user's

Art Unit: 2626

browser (the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44, para. 0027).

With respect to claim 22, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 20 wherein said subsequent interrupting processing job is a local processing job of a user local to said production device, such that processing of interrupted processing job resumes after said processing of said local processing job is complete, said local processing job being loaded and unloaded manually at said production device (Examiner notes that a user can resume a print/laminate job at his discretion using server 14 (i.e., after replacing a consumable, or processing a more important ID card, etc.)).

With respect to claim 23, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 20 wherein said interrupted processing job is stored while interrupted, such that said interrupted processing job is deferred but not canceled (Examiner notes that information is stored on server 14, and can be resumed at the discretion of the user).

With respect to claim 24, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 20 wherein if said interrupted processing job includes more than one output copy, then said interrupted processing job is allowed to complete the currently processing output copy of said more than one output copy before being interrupted (the system of Lenz can designate resources to more efficiently process print jobs, para. 0028 and portions of print jobs can be processed).

With respect to claim 25, Lenz et al disclose a method of claim 20 further comprising separating output copies of said interrupted processing job from output copies of said interrupting processing job using an operation selected from the group

Art Unit: 2626

consisting of delivering output copies of said interrupted processing job and said interrupting processing job into separate output bins (the system of Lenz can designate resources to more efficiently process print jobs, para. 0028 and portions of print jobs can be processed), delivering output copies into a common output bin (jobs can be processed at one device or several resources at different web addresses), such that output copies of said interrupted processing job are offset relative to output copies of said interrupting processing job, and

delivering output copies into a common output bin, such that output copies of said interrupted processing job are separated relative to output copies of said interrupting processing job by separator sheets bins (the system of Lenz can designate resources to more efficiently process print jobs, portions of print jobs can be processed and jobs can be processed at one device or several resources at different web addresses, para. 0028).

Claim 26, a service claim, is rejected for the same reason as that of claim 1.

Claim 27, a service claim, is rejected for the same reason as that of claim 2.

With respect to claim 28, Lenz et al disclose a destination service of claim 27 further operable to select among production options and to estimate the time duration iteratively after determining that said estimated time duration exceeds said previously determined threshold time duration (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times).

With respect to claim 29, Lenz et al disclose a destination service of claim 26 further operable to display a message to said user after determining that said estimated time duration exceeds said previously determined threshold time duration (Lenz et al disclose that server 14 of the system provides publishing of data to the operator such as illustrations and instructions, para. 0030).

With respect to claim 30, Lenz et al disclose a destination service of claim 26 further operable after determining that said estimated time duration exceeds said previously determined threshold time duration to provide said user with a link to an alternative production device (web client 20 can access data on a network of various devices, para. 0012, lines 10-13 and it is inherent that a user can select an alternate device to spool a print job).

With respect to claim 31, Lenz et al disclose a destination service of claim 26 further operable to determine differing said previously determined threshold time durations according to differing temporal periods (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times and is inherently decided by a user of the system).

With respect to claim 32, Lenz et al disclose a destination service of claim 31 wherein said differing temporal periods are selected from the group consisting of hours of the day, days of the week, and days of the month (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of

Art Unit: 2626

Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times and is inherently decided by a user of the system).

With respect to claim 33, Lenz et al disclose a destination service of claim 26 further operable to assign differing said previously determined threshold time durations according to user, such that each of a plurality of differing previously determined threshold time durations is assigned to at least one of a plurality of said users (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times and is inherently decided by a user of the system).

With respect to claim 34, Lenz et al disclose a destination service of claim 33 wherein each of said plurality of differing previously determined threshold time durations can differ further according to differing temporal periods (it is inherent that since the job status information is dynamically published on webpage 44 (para. 0027), the system of Lenz et al can compare the job status information with previous job estimation times and is inherently decided by a user of the system).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2626

2. Claims 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over HiKIS (Office World News. "Hitachi Koki: Connecting the Future of Business", Ft. Lauderdale: Jun 2000. Vol. 28) in view of Sesek (USPub 2002/0171864 A1).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2). The method of Sesek provides a way to prevent print jobs that cannot be processed (i.e., printed) without user intervention from delaying the processing of print jobs which can be printed without user intervention based on priority.

Art Unit: 2626

With respect to claim 35, HiKIS disclose a system (Hitachi Imaging System) for relieving competition between processing jobs sharing a production device, said system comprising:

a user's browser (standard web browser of the i-printer, col. 1, lines 15-20); and

a destination service (standard web browser of the i-printer can access any web address as well as I-manage to download content, col. 1, lines 32-38) accessible from said user's browser and operable to download content into said user's browser;

said destination service (i-print may access local and remote printer engines for broadcast printing, col. 2, lines 22-26) further representing a production device and operable to arrive at a priority level for each said processing job and

HiKIS does not disclose a system to prevent said processing job from running if said prevented processing job has a certain arrived at priority, such that another processing job can use said production device, said another processing job having an arrived at priority different from said prevented processing job.

Sesek discloses a control step 228 (FIG. 4C), wherein the processor checks to determine whether an error flag is set which prevents printing of the first identified print job in the Hold List, para. 0052. Jobs which are "on hold" are regularly reviewed and printed if possible, rather than the processor always giving priority to newly received jobs, para. 0053.

HiKIS and Sesek are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of printer management. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of priority holding

Art Unit: 2626

feature of Sesek to the web-based printer of HiKIS in order to obtain a web-based printer for managing priority documents. The motivation for doing so would be to manage competing print jobs.

With respect to claim 36, Lenz et al disclose a system of claim 35 further comprising means for web based imaging interconnected with said user's browser and said destination service (standard web browser of the i-printer can access any web address as well as I-manage to download content, col. 1, lines 32-38).

With respect to claim 37, Lenz et al disclose a system of claim 35 wherein said destination service is remote from said user's browser (i-print may access local and remote printer engines for broadcast printing, col. 2, lines 22-26).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Lett whose telephone number is (571)272-7464. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams can be reached on (571)272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJL



KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER